



**COVERSHEET  
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE**

**Operation Title:** FIELD SCREENING OF SOIL SAMPLES UTILIZING  
PHOTOIONIZATION AND FLAME-IONIZATION DETECTORS

**Originator:** Becky Blais  
Quality Assurance Coordinator  
Division of Remediation  
Bureau of Remediation and Waste Management

**APPROVALS:**

**Division of Remediation Director:**

<u>Carla J. Hopkins</u>	<u></u>	<u>Dec 21, 2021</u>
<i>Print name</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Date</i>

**Bureau of Remediation and Waste Management Director:**

<u>Susanne Miller</u>	<u></u>	<u>Dec 23, 2021</u>
<i>Print name</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Date</i>

**QMSC Chair:**

<u>Kevin Martin</u>	<u></u>	<u>Dec 23, 2021</u>
<i>Print name</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Date</i>

**Department Commissioner:**

<u>Melanie Loyzim</u>	<u></u>	<u>Dec 23, 2021</u>
<i>Print name</i>	<i>Signature</i>	<i>Date</i>

**DISTRIBUTION:**

( ) Division of Remediation.....By: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_



## **1.0 APPLICABILITY**

This Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) applies to all programs in the Maine Department of Environmental Protection's (MEDEP) Division of Remediation (DR). It is also applicable to all parties that may submit data that will be used by the MEDEP/DR.

This SOP is not a rule and is not intended to have the force of law, nor does it create or affect any legal rights of any individual, all of which are determined by applicable statutes and law. This SOP does not supersede statutes or rules.

## **2.0 PURPOSE**

The purpose of this document is to describe the MEDEP/DR procedure for field screening volatile organic content of soils using a closed container and a photoionization detector (PID) or a flame ionization detector (FID). Please note that MEDEP has separate SOPs for the field screening of soils impacted by petroleum products (RWM-PP-004). The investigation and remediation of petroleum related impacts are typically overseen by the MEDEP Division of Petroleum Management or Division of Technical Services. Refer to the most recent version of MEDEP's Petroleum Remediation Guidelines and associated petroleum specific SOPs for additional guidance.

## **3.0 RESPONSIBILITIES**

All MEDEP/DR Staff must follow this procedure when performing this task. All Managers and Supervisors are responsible for ensuring that their staff are familiar with and adhere to this procedure. MEDEP/DR staff reviewing data by outside parties are responsible for assuring that the procedure (or an equivalent) was utilized appropriately.

## **4.0 INTRODUCTION**

In conducting this procedure, a soil sample is placed in an approved container and sealed. Any volatile compounds in the soil then volatilize into the headspace of the container until a state of equilibrium is reached. The air in the jar headspace is then measured with a calibrated PID or FID, with a result expressed in parts per million (ppm). Due to the different vapor pressures and ionization potentials of the volatile compounds, concentrations of individual compounds cannot be determined. However, this technique provides an effective means of screening soil for the presence of total volatile organic compounds (VOCs). It is also a helpful low-cost field technique that can be used to locate "hot spots", identify the extent of hot-spots, and as a means of screening samples for submittal for laboratory analysis.

This methodology may not be sensitive enough to identify individual VOCs at or near the appropriate guidelines (with the possible exception of petroleum contaminants). The methodology is not a substitute for actual laboratory analysis. The method is a low-cost field screening tool that is most effective when the number of site screening samples is proportional to the size of the area of concern and/or volume of contaminated soil. The methodology



effectiveness is also based on the knowledge and experience of the environmental professional and the development of a complete conceptual site model.

## **5.0 PLANNING**

As with any sampling event, a sampling and analysis plan (SAP) and a health and safety plan (HASp) must be developed. Protocol for the development of a Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) can be found in MEDEP/DR SOP# RWM-DR-014 – Development of a Sampling and Analysis Plan.

## **6.0 EQUIPMENT**

The following equipment is required for conducting the procedure:

- Soil sampling equipment (e.g., shovel, bucket auger, soil boring tools);
- Approved containers (recommend using a metalized aluminum bag or glass jar, see section 6.1);
- A PID or FID; and
- Calibration equipment, including user's manual, for particular PID or FID to be used.

### **6.1 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING CONTAINERS**

Currently, the most commonly used (and recommended) containers are one-quart sized metalized aluminum bag (various manufacturers make these types of bags). Also used are wide mouthed, metal screw top 16-oz. glass jars, with a ¼ inch hole drilled through center, and with foil over the top to provide the seal.

## **7.0 PROCEDURE**

1) Warm up and calibrate the PID and FID instrument to be used according to the manufacturers recommended procedure (See Section 8 - Additional Considerations With Use of PID/FID). The PID and/or FID should be ready for use prior to collection of the first sample. The PID/FID should be operated in accordance with MEDEP/DR SOP# RWM-DR-019 – Protocol for the Use of Portable Vapor Monitors.

2) Collect the soil sample, as outlined in the site-specific SAP, utilizing appropriate soil sampling equipment.

3) Place approximately 200 grams of the soil sample into an approved container as stated in the SAP. The same type of container should be consistently used at the site for comparison purposes; do not mix or reuse headspace containers (unless the approved container is reusable and cleaned appropriately between uses). In so far as possible, samples should be mineral soil free of vegetation and stones larger than ½ inches in diameter. The type of soil being screened should be recorded and that description reported with the results. If a duplicate sample is to be submitted to the laboratory for analysis, this sample should **immediately** be containerized and



preserved as appropriate. Care should be taken to co-locate field screening and laboratory samples from the same soils. Laboratory VOC samples should not be taken from the field screening sample after it is screened, unless approved in the SAP and documented in the field notes and subsequent report. If using jars, the jars should be immediately sealed by placing a square of foil over the mouth and screwing on the lid. If using a metalized bag, the gusset at the bottom should be opened to allow development of the headspace within the entire bag.

4) Knead and break-up soil clods and shake the container for 30 seconds to thoroughly mix the contents.

5) Let Sample equilibrate for 10-minutes and shake again. Allow at least ten minutes but not more than 60-minutes for VOCs to reach headspace equilibrium. An attempt should be made to allow the same amount of equilibration time for each sample. When ambient temperatures are greater than 70 °F, samples should be stored in the shade. When temperatures are below 70 °F, samples should be warmed in the sunlight or in a running vehicle.

6) Measure and record the samples headspace concentration with the instrument by recording the highest PID/FID response. Collect a sample of the headspace by inserting the PID/FID probe into the appropriate opening for the container you are using. It is important to insert the probe as quickly as possible after the seal to the container has been broken. If the highest reading is related to a spike in the instrument response, then both the spike response and the highest response should be recorded and noted. Documentation of headspace results should be outlined in the SAP.

## **8.0 ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS WITH USE OF A PID/FID**

The protocol for operating a PID/FID can be found in MEDEP/DR SOP# RWM-DR-019 – Protocol for the Use of Portable Vapor Monitors.

There are limitations of PIDs and FIDs. A PID or FID cannot detect all VOCs, nor do they detect all VOCs equally. Factors that influence the response of the particular compound include ionization potential of compound, particular energy rating of the PID lamp, calibration standard used, response factor, response curve, etc. In some instances, such as when the contaminant of concern is a single known compound, it is possible to calibrate the instrument so that a relatively accurate measurement, when compared to laboratory analysis, can be obtained. Because of this, it is recommended that the operator of the particular instrument that will be conducting this procedure take the time before the sampling event to familiarize themselves with the particular instrument that will be used, if they are not already familiar with that instrument. This includes reviewing the specific user manual, and calibration and practice with the instrument prior to the sampling event. Typically, if petroleum constituents are the primary contaminants of concern then MEDEP petroleum specific SOPs should be followed, as generally described in Section 2 of this SOP, unless otherwise stated in the SAP.



## **9.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL**

Data quality objectives (DQOs) should be stated in the SAP (See MEDEP/DR SOP# RWM-DR-014). Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples may be collected, if needed to meet your DQOs. The following are typical QA/QC samples or tasks conducted for PID/FID field screening. Additional sampling or tasks may be added based on the DQO requirements of the project.

### **9.1 RECALIBRATION DURING USE**

During the course of the workday, the PID/FID should be bump tested with the appropriate calibration gas every two hours during the workday, or after screening samples with headspaces greater than 1,000-ppm. If the bump test reading is more than 10% different from the calibration gas, then the instrument should be recalibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. All bump test and recalibration readings must be documented in the field notebook.

### **9.2 DUPLICATE SAMPLES**

Field screening duplicate samples may be collected at a rate of 5% to assess sample location variability.

## **10.0 DOCUMENTATION**

Field notes should be collected following the standard procedures outlined in MEDEP/DR SOP# RWM-DR-013 - Documentation of Field Activities and Development of a Trip Report. It is important that documentation include the specific lamp energy rating, calibration standard, and special response factors or curves that may be employed for the particular sampling event. When documenting such a sampling event, one should include enough information so that a person at a later date can easily duplicate the sampling and be able to compare the results.

As this type of screening is done in the field by the sampling team conducting the sampling, no chain of custody is required.

Specialized forms may be developed for recording field screening data. Additionally, some PID/FIDs have software which can record data. Any special method of recording and documenting results must be outlined in the SAP.

# 011-soil-field-screening-FINAL-2021 - B Blais

Final Audit Report

2021-12-23

Created:	2021-12-20
By:	Lindsay Caron (LINDSAY.ER.CARON@MAINE.GOV)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAackp60WwK5WIOTPeEgPmsoAqxVyPqzUj

## "011-soil-field-screening-FINAL-2021 - B Blais" History

-  Document created by Lindsay Caron (LINDSAY.ER.CARON@MAINE.GOV)  
2021-12-20 - 2:32:27 AM GMT- IP address: 198.182.163.115
-  Document emailed to Carla J. Hopkins (carla.j.hopkins@maine.gov) for signature  
2021-12-20 - 2:33:48 AM GMT
-  Email viewed by Carla J. Hopkins (carla.j.hopkins@maine.gov)  
2021-12-21 - 9:27:17 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.64.254
-  Document e-signed by Carla J. Hopkins (carla.j.hopkins@maine.gov)  
Signature Date: 2021-12-21 - 9:28:20 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.182.163.115
-  Document emailed to Susanne Miller (susanne.miller@maine.gov) for signature  
2021-12-21 - 9:28:22 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Susanne Miller (susanne.miller@maine.gov)  
2021-12-23 - 3:30:47 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.65.254
-  Document e-signed by Susanne Miller (susanne.miller@maine.gov)  
Signature Date: 2021-12-23 - 3:30:59 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 184.153.146.117
-  Document emailed to Kevin Martin (kevin.martin@maine.gov) for signature  
2021-12-23 - 3:31:01 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Kevin Martin (kevin.martin@maine.gov)  
2021-12-23 - 6:14:29 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.65.254
-  Document e-signed by Kevin Martin (kevin.martin@maine.gov)  
Signature Date: 2021-12-23 - 6:15:27 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 73.16.27.248
-  Document emailed to Melanie Loyzim (melanie.loyzim@maine.gov) for signature  
2021-12-23 - 6:15:29 PM GMT

 Email viewed by Melanie Loyzim (melanie.loyzim@maine.gov)

2021-12-23 - 7:11:14 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.64.254

 Document e-signed by Melanie Loyzim (melanie.loyzim@maine.gov)

Signature Date: 2021-12-23 - 7:11:50 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.182.163.121

 Agreement completed.

2021-12-23 - 7:11:50 PM GMT